	Case 2:23-cv-02007-DJC-AC Doc	ument 18	Filed 04/09/25	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	JOHN A. MAGANA,	N	o. 2:23-cv-2007 D.	JC AC P	
12	Plaintiff,				
13	V.	0	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND		
14	CSP SOLANO CDCR, et al.,	<u>R</u>	RECOMMENDATIONS		
15	Defendants.				
16					
17	Plaintiff is a former state prisoner proceeding pro se with this civil rights action filed				
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. By order filed February 19, 2025, this case was referred to the				
19	court's Post-Screening ADR (Alternative Dispute Resolution) Project and stayed for a period of				
20	120 days. ECF No. 16. That order provided defendant the opportunity to request opting out of				
21	the ADR Project based on a good faith belief that a settlement conference would be a waste of				
22	resources. <u>Id.</u> at 2. Defendant now requests to opt out of the Post-Screening ADR Project and				
23	that the stay be lifted. ECF No. 17. Having reviewed the request, the court finds good cause to				
24	grant it.				
25	Although the stay is being lifted, defendant will not be required to respond to the				
26	complaint at this time because the file reflects that a recent court order was served on plaintiff's				
27	address of record and returned by the postal service. It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply				
28	with Local Rule 183(b), which requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court				

	Case 2:23-cv-02007-DJC-AC Document 18 Filed 04/09/25 Page 2 of 2				
1	of any address change. More than thirty days have passed since the court order was returned by				
2	the postal service and plaintiff has failed to notify the court of a current address.				
3	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:				
4	1. Defendant's request to opt out of the Post-Screening ADR Project (ECF No. 17) is				
5	GRANTED.				
6	2. The ADR stay of this action, commencing February 19, 2025 (ECF No. 16), is				
7	LIFTED.				
8	IT IS FUTHER RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice for				
9	failure to prosecute. See L.R. 183(b).				
0	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge				
1	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days				
2	after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written				
3	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's				
4	Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within				
5	fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections				
6	within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v.				
7	<u>Ylst</u> , 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).				
8	DATED: April 8, 2025				
9	ALLISON CLAIRE				
20	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE				
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					